

DYNAMICS OF THE KRICHEVER CONSTRUCTION IN SEVERAL VARIABLES

MITCHELL ROTHSTEIN

ABSTRACT. The Krichever construction in one variable, that is, for spectral curves, linearizes the KdV-hierarchy on the jacobian of the curve. We carry out an appropriate generalization of the Krichever construction for an arbitrary projective variety X and determine the corresponding nonlinear dynamics, which are then linearized on an extension of $\text{Pic}^0(X)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. The algebro-geometric inverse scattering method for solving nonlinear partial differential equations is well-developed in the case of one-dimensional spectral varieties. Given a nonlinear evolution equation, one interprets the evolving fields as operators acting on objects naturally associated with the geometry of the curve. The nonlinear dynamics then becomes linear flow on the Picard variety of the curve. The best-known example is the *Krichever construction*, which associates to a projective curve, a smooth point and some additional data, a solution of the KdV-hierarchy, [K1, K2, K3, KN, Mum, S, SW].

Once Krichever's construction appeared, it was natural to ask whether a similar construction could be used to linearize dynamics on Picard varieties of higher dimensional varieties, [S, T]. An important, though nondynamical contribution was made by Nakayshiki, [N], who used the Fourier-Mukai transform, [Muk], to represent the ring of functions on a principally polarized abelian variety X , with poles along the theta divisor, as matrix differential operators in $\dim(X)$ -many variables. This example is nondynamical in the sense that $\dim(X)$ is also $\dim(\text{Pic}(X))$, (which is just X in this case.) In the case of a curve of genus g , on the other hand, one has $g - 1$ independent KdV-flows. In [R], a general setting was described, in which one may recover not only Nakayshiki's example, but other examples as well. For instance, one could replace the curve by the family of lines on a smooth cubic threefold, (this is the Fano surface,) and replace the point by an incidence divisor.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 35Q53 ; Secondary 35A27, 37K10, 58F07, 14K05.

This example is interesting from the standpoint of dynamics, because $\dim(\mathrm{Pic}(X)) - \dim(X) = 5 - 2 = 3$, so one anticipates that there will be three commuting flows, although the flows were not given in that paper.

As it turns out, the situation is quite a bit more general than it first seemed. In the present work, we will carry out the Krichever construction with any Cohen-Macaulay projective variety X , and determine the corresponding dynamics. The flows will be defined on an extension $Y \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$, of $\mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$ by several copies of $\mathbb{G}_m$.

1.2. To describe the flows, let $n = \dim(X)$, and introduce the following additional data:

- $Z \subset X$, a reduced, ample Cartier hypersurface. Set $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{O}(Z)/\mathcal{O}$, the normal bundle to Z , regarded as a locally free sheaf on Z .
- an n -dimensional basepoint free subspace $W_0 \subset H^0(Z, \mathcal{N})$. Let $\phi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(W_0^*)$ denote the corresponding morphism.
- a point $P \in \mathbb{P}(W_0^*)$, such that ϕ is étale in a neighborhood of $\phi^{-1}(P)$. Set $S = \phi^{-1}(P)$ and let $\mathcal{I}_S$ denote the ideal sheaf of S in X .
- a choice of n -dimensional subspace $W \subset H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(Z)/\mathcal{I}_S)$, mapping isomorphically onto W_0 .
- a basis $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$ for W , such that the images of $\xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$ in W_0 vanish on S .

Our group Y is then the group of degree-zero line bundles on X , equipped with a trivialization along S . There is a natural map

$$(1.1) \quad W \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{I}_S) = \text{invariant vector fields on } Y.$$

Denoting by ∂_i the image of ξ_i under the map (1.1), one has an algebra $\mathcal{O}_Y[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$, in which the ξ 's commute among themselves, and satisfy $[\xi_i, f] = \partial_i(f)$ for $f \in \mathcal{O}_Y$.

In section 2 we introduce a functor Φ , a version of the Fourier-Mukai transform, that carries $\mathcal{O}_X(*Z)$ -modules to $\mathcal{O}_Y[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$ -modules.

Theorem (Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 3.4). *Assume X is Cohen-Macaulay. Let $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ be line bundles, with $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ ample. Then there exist integers a and b , such that for every collection of data as described above, if $\mathcal{O}(Z) \simeq \mathcal{M}^b$ and if we set $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{L}^a \otimes \mathcal{F}'$, there exists a Zariski-dense open subset $\mathcal{U} \subset Y$, such that the $\mathcal{O}_Y[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$ -module $\Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z))$ is free on $\mathcal{U}$. Let d denote the degree of Z . Then the rank of $\Phi_S(\mathcal{F}(*Z))$ is d .*

By functoriality, one has a map, which turns out to be an imbedding,

$$(1.2) \quad H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(*Z)) \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_Y[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]}(\Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z))) .$$

A choice of basis for $\Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z))|_{\mathcal{U}}$ then gives an imbedding

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(*Z)) &\rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{U}, M_d(\mathcal{O}_Y[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n])) \\ f &\mapsto L_f, \end{aligned}$$

into the ring of $d \times d$ matrices over $H^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_Y[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n])$. This generalizes the Burchnell-Chaundy imbedding of the ring of functions on a curve with poles at point into the ring of differential operators in one variable, [BC].

The dynamics problem is to find, for every translation invariant vector field δ on Y , an explicit formula for $\delta(L_f)$. Since L_f is determined only up to conjugation, any such formula will depend on choices. When X is a curve and Z is a point, there is a natural normalization that leads to the KdV-hierarchy, [Mum]. We shall show that in the general case, there are many ways to make such choices and still obtain explicit formulas for the flow. These choices will also affect the number of copies of $\mathbb{G}_m$ entering into the extension Y , since it may be possible to throw away the trivializations at some points of S . In some cases it will be possible to take $Y = \text{Pic}^0(X)$, which is of particular interest when $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ is an abelian variety. The flow that can be described in the fewest words uses all of S . It is discussed in section 6. The more exotic flows that use smaller extensions are discussed in section 7.

The flow given in section 6 goes as follows. Invert ξ_1 by allowing formal power series in ξ_1^{-1} , (microlocalization):

$$H^0(\mathcal{U}, M_d(\mathcal{O}_Y[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n])) \subset H^0(\mathcal{U}, M_d(\mathcal{O}_Y[\xi_2, \dots, \xi_n][(\xi_1^{-1})]))$$

For all nonzero $f \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(*Z))$, L_f is invertible in this larger ring of matrices.

Theorem(Theorem 6.2). *For an appropriate choice of basis for $\Phi_S(\mathcal{F}(*Z))|_{\mathcal{U}}$, the imbedding (1.3) is such that for every translation invariant vector field δ , there exist $f, g \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(*Z))$ such that for all $h \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(*Z))$,*

$$(1.4) \quad \delta(L_h) = [(L_g^{-1}L_f)_+, L_h] .$$

For the modified flows discussed in section 7, the diagonal entries of $(L_g^{-1}L_f)_+$ are altered by adding terms from the negative part of $L_g^{-1}L_f$.

When $n = d = 1$, we recover the KdV-hierarchy as follows. The variety X is now a curve, and Z is a point. Choose a local coordinate z at Z , in such a way that for some integer r there exists $h \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(*Z))$ such that $z^r = h$. For each integer j , $1/z^j$ defines a vector field δ_j on $\text{Pic}^0(X)$. Then, taking $\delta = \delta_j$ in equation (1.4), f and g are such that

$f/g = 1/z^j + o(z)$. Then $(L_g^{-1}L_f)_+ = (L_h^{j/r})_+$. Then (1.4) is the KdV-hierarchy.

For general n and d , it is not possible to recover $(L_g^{-1}L_f)_+$ in the form $(L_h^{j/r})_+$. Instead, for each vector field δ , we have an autonomous nonlinear system

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(L_f) &= [(L_g^{-1}L_f)_+, L_f] . \\ (1.5) \quad \delta(L_g) &= [(L_g^{-1}L_f)_+, L_g] \\ [L_f, L_g] &= 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Then, given another vector field δ_1 , we get commuting flows for four commuting matrices, and so on.

On the other hand, in any particular example, one may choose a set of generators $f_1, \dots, f_m$ for $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(*Z))$ as an algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. Then, as δ varies, one may view (1.4) as a collection of commuting flows for the m commuting matrices L_{f_i} , with L_f and L_g now being polynomials in $L_{f_1}, \dots, L_{f_m}$.

1.3. An important aspect of the one-variable theory is the *Krichever map*, $\{\text{Krichever data}\} \rightarrow \{\text{point in infinite dimensional grassmannian}\}$, discussed by Sato, [S] and Segal and Wilson, [SW]. Progress has been made on understanding the Krichever map in several variables, by Parshin, [P], for surfaces and by Osipov, [O], in higher dimensions. I will not attempt in this paper to obtain the dynamics (1.4) from flows on an infinite dimensional manifold, though it does seem that such a construction might be possible. Other open problems include finding soliton solutions to (1.4) and finding explicit formulas in terms of theta functions.

1.4. The outline of the paper is as follows. Section 2 gives the basic results connecting the Fourier-Mukai transform with the theory of $\mathcal{D}$ -modules. Section 3 applies this theory, to obtain commuting matrix partial differential operators. Section 4 addresses the problem of choosing a good basis. Section 5 brings in microdifferential operators. The dynamics are obtained in section 6. In section 7 we obtain the modified dynamics that descend to quotients of Y , gotten by throwing away the trivializations at some of the points of $\phi^{-1}(P)$. Examples in which the flow descends to $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ itself are given in section 8.

It is a pleasure to acknowledge invaluable discussions with Alexander Polishchuk and Robert Varley.

2. FOURIER-MUKAI TRANSFORM AND $\mathcal{D}$ -MODULES

2.1. Fourier-Mukai transform. Let X be a projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$, $S \subset X$ a nonempty finite subset, and Y the group of degree-zero line bundles on X , trivialized along S . For a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules $\mathcal{F}$, denote by $\mathcal{F}|_S$ the pullback of $\mathcal{F}$ to S . Let $\mathcal{P}$ denote the Poincaré line bundle on $X \times Y$, i.e. a universal line bundle equipped with a trivialization $\mathcal{P}|_{S \times Y} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{S \times Y}$. One has a canonical isomorphism

$$(2.1) \quad (id \times \pi_1)^*(\mathcal{P}) \otimes (id \times \pi_2)^*(\mathcal{P}) \simeq (id \times m)^*(\mathcal{P}) ,$$

where π_1, π_2 and m are the projection and multiplication maps, respectively, on $Y \times Y$. The Fourier-Mukai transform is defined as follows.

Definition 2.1. [Muk] Given an object $\mathcal{F}$ in the derived category of quasicoherent $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules, set

$$(2.2) \quad R\Phi(\mathcal{F}) = R\pi_{Y*}(\pi_X^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{P}) ,$$

where π_X, π_Y are the two projection maps on $X \times Y$. Set

$$(2.3) \quad \Phi(\mathcal{F}) = H^0(R\Phi(\mathcal{F})) .$$

Let $\mathcal{D}$ denote the sheaf of linear differential operators on Y . One might ask how to augment the data of an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module structure on a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ so that $\Phi(\mathcal{F})$ will inherit a $\mathcal{D}$ -module structure (cf. [L, R].)

Definition 2.2. An extension rigidified along S is a locally split exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}$ -modules

$$(2.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 0$$

equipped with an isomorphism of extensions

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{F}|_S \oplus \mathcal{H}|_S \simeq \mathcal{G}|_S .$$

Let $\mathcal{I}_S$ denote the ideal sheaf of S . The extensions of $\mathcal{O}$ by $\mathcal{O}$ rigidified along S are classified by $H^1(X, \mathcal{I}_S)$. Thus one may make the following definition.

Definition 2.3. Let $\mathfrak{g} = H^1(X, \mathcal{I}_S)$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ denote the universal extension

$$(2.6) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{t} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{p} \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0$$

rigidified along S . Set

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{A} = \text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{E}) / \langle t - 1 \rangle .$$

Proposition 2.4. $\Phi(\cdot)$ defines a functor from $\mathcal{A}$ -modules to $\mathcal{D}$ -modules.

Proof. The construction generalizes the one given in [R]. Let $\mathfrak{g}' = \text{Sym } \mathfrak{g}^* / \langle \text{Sym}^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \rangle = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*$. Referring to (2.6), the map $\mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E})$, $\omega \mapsto t(\langle \omega, p(\cdot) \rangle)$, defines an action of $\mathfrak{g}'$ on $\mathcal{E}$. Thus $\mathcal{E}$ is the direct image of a line bundle on $X \times \text{Spec}(\mathfrak{g}')$. Denote this line bundle by $\mathcal{P}'$. On the other hand, $\text{Spec}(\mathfrak{g}')$ is the first order neighborhood of the identity in Y . Then $\mathcal{P}' = \mathcal{P}|_{X \times \text{Spec}(\mathfrak{g}')}$ canonically.

It is easy to see that the following data are equivalent:

DATA I. A splitting of the sequence

$$(2.8) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0 ,$$

DATA II. An isomorphism

$$(2.9) \quad p^*(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} p^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X \times \text{Spec}(\mathfrak{g}')}} \mathcal{P}'$$

restricting to the identity on X , where p is projection onto X .

The first order neighborhood of the diagonal in $Y \times Y$ is canonically isomorphic to $Y \times \text{Spec}(\mathfrak{g}')$. Denote by p_i , $i = 1, 2$, the two projections $Y \times \text{Spec}(\mathfrak{g}') \rightarrow Y$ coming from this identification. There is a third map, $\epsilon : Y \times \text{Spec}(\mathfrak{g}') \rightarrow Y$, given by projection onto $\text{Spec}(\mathfrak{g}')$ followed by the inclusion map.

By equation (2.1), one has a canonical isomorphism

$$(2.10) \quad (id \times p_1)^*(\mathcal{P}) \simeq (id \times p_2)^*(\mathcal{P}) \otimes (id \times \epsilon)^*(\mathcal{P}) .$$

It then follows from the base change formula that an instance of DATA II gives an isomorphism

$$(2.11) \quad p_1^*(\Phi(\mathcal{F})) \simeq p_2^*(\Phi(\mathcal{F}))$$

restricting to the identity along Y , that is to say, a connection on $\Phi(\mathcal{F})$.

For any splitting $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ of (2.8) one may define its curvature as follows. Given an open set $U \subset X$, any splitting $\mathcal{O}_U \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{E}|_U$ defines a splitting, $1 \otimes \sigma$, of (2.8) restricted to U . The difference is a section

$$(2.12) \quad \tau - 1 \otimes \sigma \in \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \Gamma(U, \text{End}(\mathcal{F})) .$$

The commutator is independent of the choice of σ , so one has a globally defined curvature

$$(2.13) \quad [\tau, \tau] \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{F}) .$$

Then the following data are equivalent:

splitting of (2.8) with vanishing curvature $\Leftrightarrow$ $\mathcal{A}$ -module structure on $\mathcal{F}$.

It is easy to check that Φ carries the curvature of the splitting to the curvature of the connection. Thus an $\mathcal{A}$ -module structure on $\mathcal{F}$ endows $\Phi(\mathcal{F})$ with a connection whose curvature vanishes, i.e. a $\mathcal{D}$ -module structure. $\square$

2.2. $\mathcal{D}$ -module structure on $\Phi(\mathcal{O}_S)$. *A priori*, $\Phi(\mathcal{O}_S)$ has two $\mathcal{D}$ -module structures. On the one hand, the pullback of $\mathcal{A}$ to S is canonically trivial,

$$(2.14) \quad \mathcal{A}|_S \simeq \mathcal{O}_S \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Sym}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g} .$$

Then there is a homomorphism $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$, sending $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0$. Then $\mathcal{O}_S$ is an $\mathcal{A}$ -module so $\Phi(\mathcal{O}_S)$ becomes a $\mathcal{D}$ -module. On the other hand, $\Phi(\mathcal{O}_S)$ is canonically trivial,

$$(2.15) \quad \Phi(\mathcal{O}_S) = H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_Y$$

so it has its standard $\mathcal{D}$ -module structure. We leave it to the reader to verify the following result.

Lemma 2.5. *The action of $\mathcal{D}$ on $\Phi(\mathcal{O}_S)$ induced by the canonical homomorphism $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$ is the standard action of $\mathcal{D}$ on the trivial bundle.*

2.3. Algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ associated to a linear map $M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$. The construction in subsection 2.1 may be generalized as follows. Let M be a $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space and let $M \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathfrak{g}$ be a linear map. Then the extension $\mathcal{E}$ may be composed with τ to yield an extension

$$(2.16) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{t} \mathcal{E}_{\tau} \rightarrow M \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0 .$$

On the other hand, τ may be viewed as a map from the trivial bundle $M \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_Y$ to the tangent sheaf of Y . Thus $M \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_Y$ inherits the structure of a Lie algebroid. (See [PR].)

One then constructs sheaves of algebras $\mathcal{A}_{\tau}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\tau}$ over $\mathcal{O}_X$ and $\mathcal{O}_Y$ respectively, in complete analogy with $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{D}$:

Definition 2.6.

Let $\mathcal{A}_{\tau} = \mathrm{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau}) / \langle t - 1 \rangle$.

For $m \in M$, let ∂_m denote the translation invariant vector field on Y associated to $\tau(m)$. Let $\mathcal{B}_{\tau}$ denote the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebroid $M \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_Y$. Thus, $\mathcal{B}_{\tau}$ is the sheaf of associative $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -algebras generated by M , with relations

$$(2.17) \quad [m, f] = \partial_m(f) , \quad f \in \mathcal{O}_Y, \quad m \in M,$$

$$(2.18) \quad [m_1, m_2] = 0 , \quad m_1, m_2 \in M .$$

Remark 2.7. If τ is the 0-map, then

$$(2.19) \quad \mathcal{A}_\tau = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes \text{Sym } M$$

$$(2.20) \quad \mathcal{B}_\tau = \mathcal{O}_Y \otimes \text{Sym } M$$

as algebras.

Remark 2.8. There are canonical homomorphisms

$$(2.21) \quad \mathcal{A}_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \quad , \quad \mathcal{B}_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \quad .$$

On the other hand, if τ is surjective, then every right inverse of τ defines homomorphisms

$$(2.22) \quad \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\tau \quad , \quad \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\tau \quad .$$

Proposition 2.9. $\Phi(\cdot)$ defines a functor from $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ -modules to $\mathcal{B}_\tau$ -modules.

Notation . Every $\mathcal{B}_\tau$ -module structure on an $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module $\mathcal{G}$ determines, and is determined by, a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map $M \xrightarrow{\nabla} \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{G})$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{O}_Y$ and all $m, m' \in M$, $[\nabla_m, f] = \partial_m(f)$ and $[\nabla_m, \nabla_{m'}] = 0$. We will often refer to ∇ itself as a $\mathcal{B}_\tau$ -module structure or an action of $\mathcal{B}_\tau$.

2.4. Difference of two $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ -module structures. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules equipped with two $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ -module structures, α_1 and α_2 . Their difference is a map

$$(2.23) \quad \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 : M \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{F}) \quad .$$

This in turn gives us a map $\Phi(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) : M \rightarrow \text{End}(\Phi(\mathcal{F}))$.

The proof of the following lemma is left to the reader.

Lemma 2.10.

Let ∇^1 and ∇^2 be the $\mathcal{B}_\tau$ -module structures on $\Phi(\mathcal{F})$ associated to α_1 and α_2 respectively. Then

$$(2.24) \quad \nabla^1 - \nabla^2 = -\Phi(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \quad .$$

Remark 2.11. The minus sign in part 1 of the lemma is a matter of convention, introduced for later convenience.

2.5. Čech description. Let $U_1, \dots, U_a$ be an affine open cover of X , and let $x(1), \dots, x(b)$ be a basis for $\mathfrak{g}$. Represent each $x(i)$ as a cocycle $\{c_{\alpha,\beta}(i) \in H^0(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta, \mathcal{I}_S)\}$. Then there exists sections $x_\alpha(1), \dots, x_\alpha(b) \in H^0(U_\alpha, \mathcal{A})$, such that $\mathcal{A}|_{U_\alpha}$ is freely generated as an algebra over $\mathcal{O}|_{U_\alpha}$ by $x_\alpha(1), \dots, x_\alpha(b)$. Furthermore,

$$(2.25) \quad x_\alpha(i)|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta} - x_\beta(i)|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta} = c_{\alpha,\beta}(i) \quad .$$

In particular, $\mathcal{O}|_{U_\alpha}$ becomes an $\mathcal{A}$ -module, by letting $x_\alpha(i)$ act by 0 for all i . Then $\Phi(\mathcal{O}|_{U_\alpha})$ is a $\mathcal{D}$ -module. By functoriality, $H^0(U_\alpha, \mathcal{O})$ acts

on $\Phi(\mathcal{O}|_{U_\alpha})$, $\mathcal{D}$ -linearly. Therefore, the $\mathcal{D}$ -module structure on $\Phi(\mathcal{O}|_{U_\alpha})$ may be identified canonically with a relative connection ∇^α on $\mathcal{P}|_{U_\alpha \times Y}$, with U_α as the base. Moreover, $\mathcal{O}|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta}$ is an $\mathcal{A}$ -module in two ways, the difference between them being the map

$$(2.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g} &\rightarrow H^0(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta, \mathcal{I}_S) \\ x(i) &\mapsto c_{\alpha,\beta}(i) . \end{aligned}$$

Then by lemma 2.10,

$$(2.27) \quad \nabla_{\partial_i}^\alpha - \nabla_{\partial_i}^\beta = -c_{\alpha,\beta}(i) ,$$

where ∂_i is the vector field associated to $x(i)$. Note that if we restrict ∇^α to $\mathcal{P}|_{(U_\alpha \cap S) \times Y}$, we get the standard connection on the trivial bundle.

Now let $\mathcal{F}$ be an $\mathcal{A}$ -module. Then $\mathcal{F}|_{U_\alpha}$ is an $\mathcal{A}$ -module in two ways, the latter being the one where $x_\alpha(i)$ acts by 0. Taking the difference between these $\mathcal{A}$ -module structures, one finds that the $\mathcal{A}$ -module structure on $\mathcal{F}$ is described by a collection of commuting endomorphisms

$$\{\phi_\alpha(i) \in H^0(U_\alpha, \text{End}(\mathcal{F}))\}$$

such that

$$(2.28) \quad \phi_\alpha(i) - \phi_\beta(i) = \text{multiplication by } c_{\alpha,\beta}(i) .$$

The relative connection ∇^α on $\mathcal{P}|_{U_\alpha \times Y}$ induces a relative connection ∇^α on $\pi_X^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{P}|_{U_\alpha \times Y}$. The formula

$$(2.29) \quad \nabla_{\partial_i} = \nabla_{\partial_i}^\alpha + \phi_\alpha(i)$$

then defines a global relative connection on $\pi_X^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{P}$, with X as the base. The $\mathcal{D}$ -module structure on $\Phi(\mathcal{F})$ is then the direct image of this relative $\mathcal{D}$ -module structure.

If $M \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathfrak{g}$ is a linear map, the situation is the same.

$$\mathcal{A}_\tau|_{U_\alpha} \simeq \mathcal{O}|_{U_\alpha} \otimes \text{Sym}_{\mathbb{C}}(M_\alpha) ,$$

where M_α is a copy of M , such that

$$(2.30) \quad m_\alpha - m_\beta = c_{\alpha,\beta}(m) ,$$

where $c_{\alpha,\beta}(m)$ is the cocycle whose class is $\tau(m)$. Then, if $\mathcal{F}$ is an $\mathcal{O}$ -module, an $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ -module structure on $\mathcal{F}$ is given by a collection of commuting endomorphisms $\phi_\alpha(m) \in H^0(U_\alpha, \text{End}(\mathcal{F}))$, such that $\phi_\alpha(m) - \phi_\beta(m) = \text{multiplication by } c_{\alpha,\beta}(m)$. The corresponding $\mathcal{B}_\tau$ -module structure on $\Phi(\mathcal{F})$ is described locally by

$$(2.31) \quad \nabla_m = \nabla_m^\alpha + \phi_\alpha(m) .$$

2.6. Action of $\ker(\tau)$. Let $\mathcal{E}'_\tau$ denote the restriction of (2.16) to the kernel of τ .

(2.32)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O} & \xrightarrow{t} & \mathcal{E}'_\tau & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \ker \tau \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O} & \xrightarrow{t} & \mathcal{E}_\tau & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

The extension $\mathcal{E}'_\tau$ splits canonically. One therefore has a map of vector spaces $\ker \tau \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{E}'_\tau)$, which, in turn, gives a map

$$\ker \tau \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{A}_\tau) .$$

Given an $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ -module, $\mathcal{F}$, one may restrict ∇ to $\ker \tau$, giving a map $\ker \tau \xrightarrow{\nabla} \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Phi(\mathcal{F}))$. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ is commutative, there is a map $H^0(X, \mathcal{A}_\tau) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}_\tau}(\mathcal{F})$, and by functoriality, a map $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}_\tau}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{B}_\tau}(\Phi(\mathcal{F}))$. The following lemma is evident from the Čech description (2.31).

Lemma 2.12. *The following diagram commutes:*

$$(2.33) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \ker \tau & \longrightarrow & \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Phi(\mathcal{F})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^0(X, \mathcal{A}_\tau) & \longrightarrow & \text{End}_{\mathcal{B}_\tau}(\Phi(\mathcal{F})) \end{array}$$

2.7. The algebras $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}$ associated to an $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra $\mathcal{C}$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a quasicoherent sheaf of commutative $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebras, such that the inclusion $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is injective. Since $H^0(X, \mathcal{I}_S) = 0$, there is an exact sequence

$$(2.34) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{I}_S) \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathfrak{g} .$$

The construction in subsection 2.3 associates to τ sheaves $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ and $\mathcal{B}_\tau$, which we denote respectively by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Proposition 2.13. *There is a canonical homomorphism*

$$(2.35) \quad \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} .$$

Proof. Set $M = H^0(X, \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{I}_S)$. The complex $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}$. Therefore, the natural map $\mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{O}$

defines an extension $\mathcal{E} \in \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M, \mathcal{O})$, together with a commutative diagram

(2.36)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O} & \xrightarrow{t} & \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{O} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

It is clear that the extension $\mathcal{E}$ is defined by the natural map $M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, so one has a canonical isomorphism

(2.37) $\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{E})/\langle t-1 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}} .$

Therefore, the map $\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ induced by (2.36) defines the desired homomorphism. □

Corollary 2.14. *Φ defines a functor from $\mathcal{C}$ modules to $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}$ modules.*

Proposition 2.15. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a $\mathcal{C}$ -module, and let $m \in H^0(X, \mathcal{C})$. Regarding m as an element of $H^0(X, \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{I}_S)$, let ∇_m denote the corresponding $\mathbb{C}$ -linear endomorphism of $\Phi(\mathcal{G})$. Let m also denote the $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}$ -linear endomorphism of $\Phi(\mathcal{G})$ obtained by regarding m as an $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}}$ -linear endomorphism of $\mathcal{G}$ and applying functoriality. Then $\nabla_m = m$.*

Proof. Since $H^0(X, \mathcal{C})$ is the kernel of the map $\tau : H^0(X, \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{I}_S) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, lemma 2.12 implies that ∇_m acts by the image of m in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}}$. The reader can easily check that the composition

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{C}) = \ker \tau \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}}) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{C})$$

is the identity map. This proves the claim. □

2.8. The algebras $\mathcal{A}_Z$ and $\mathcal{B}_Z$ associated to a hypersurface Z .

Let $Z \subset X$ be a reduced, ample Cartier hypersurface. Let

$$\mathcal{O}(*Z) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{O}_X(jZ) .$$

Taking $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{O}(*Z)$ in subsection 2.7 furnishes us with sheaves of algebras $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}(*Z)}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{O}(*Z)}$. These we denote, respectively, by $\mathcal{A}_Z$ and $\mathcal{B}_Z$.

For $\mathcal{F}$ a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules, define

$$\mathcal{F}(*Z) = \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_X(*Z) .$$

Our main object of study is the functor $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z))$ from $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules to $\mathcal{B}_Z$ -modules. Denote the $\mathcal{B}_Z$ -module structure by ∇ .

2.9. Local and singular parts of ∇ . From now on, $\mathcal{F}$ denotes a torsion-free $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module.

Lemma 2.16. *Let U be an affine open subset of X , such that $S \subset U$ and U meets every component of Z . Then the natural map*

$$(2.38) \quad \Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z)) \rightarrow \Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z)|_U)$$

is injective.

Proof. The natural map $\mathcal{F}(*Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(*Z)|_U$ is injective, since $\mathcal{F}$ is torsion-free. Since Φ is left-exact, the lemma is proved. $\square$

Let $U_1, \dots, U_a$ be an affine open cover of X . Select a Čech description, $(\nabla_{\partial_m}^1 + \phi_1(m), \dots, \nabla_{\partial_m}^a + \phi_a(m))$ of the $\mathcal{B}_Z$ -module structure on $\Phi(\mathcal{O}(*Z))$. Recall that ∇^i is a relative connection on $\mathcal{P}|_{U_\alpha \times Y}$, restricting to the standard connection on $\mathcal{P}|_{(U_\alpha \cap S) \times Y}$. Assume that U_1 satisfies the hypotheses of lemma 2.16. Then the lemma implies that the $\mathcal{B}_Z$ -module structure on $\Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z))$ is determined by $\nabla_{\partial_m}^1 + \phi_1(m)$.

Definition 2.17. Let $m \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(*Z)/\mathcal{I}_S)$ and let $U \subset X$ be an open set. By a lift of m on U we mean an element $\tilde{m} \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(*Z)|_U)$ such that m and $\tilde{m}$ have the same image in $H^0(X, (\mathcal{O}(*Z)/\mathcal{I}_S)|_U)$.

It is clear that for all $m \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(*Z)/\mathcal{I}_S)$, $\phi_1(m)$ is a lift of m on U_1 . We therefore have the following “local formula” for the $\mathcal{B}_Z$ -module structure on $\Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z))$.

Proposition 2.18. *Let U be as in lemma 2.16. Then there exists a relative connection on $\mathcal{P}|_{U \times Y}$, restricting to the standard connection on $\mathcal{P}|_{S \times Y} \simeq \mathcal{O}|_{S \times Y}$, such that the $\mathcal{B}_Z$ -module structure on $\Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z))$ is given by the following local formula:*

$$(2.39) \quad \nabla_m = \nabla_{\partial_m}^U + \tilde{m} ,$$

where $\tilde{m}$ is a lift of m on U .

For a fixed choice of U and ∇^U , we refer to $\nabla_{\partial_m}^U$ and $\tilde{m}$ as the local and singular parts of ∇_m , respectively.

3. COMMUTING MATRIX DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

3.1. The algebras $\mathcal{A}_W$ and $\mathcal{B}_W$. Let

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{N}_{Z,S} = \mathcal{O}(Z)/\mathcal{I}_S$$

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{N}_Z = \mathcal{O}(Z)/\mathcal{O}$$

Then $\mathcal{N}_Z$ is the normal sheaf of Z , which we will regard either as a sheaf on X or Z depending on the circumstance.

Note that one has an exact sequence

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{Z,S} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_Z \rightarrow 0 .$$

Let $n = \dim(X)$. Let $W \subset H^0(X, \mathcal{N}_{Z,S})$ be an n -dimensional space of sections with the following properties:

$$(3.4) \quad W \text{ is isomorphic to its image in } H^0(X, \mathcal{N}_Z) = H^0(Z, \mathcal{N}_Z).$$

$$(3.5) \quad \text{The image of } W \text{ in } H^0(Z, \mathcal{N}_Z) \text{ is basepoint-free.}$$

Then W defines a finite morphism

$$(3.6) \quad \phi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1} = \mathbb{P}(W^*) .$$

There is a natural map $W \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, factoring through the inclusion $W \subset H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(*Z)/\mathcal{I}_S)$.

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{A}_W$ and $\mathcal{B}_W$ denote the sheaves of algebras associated to the natural map $W \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$. Note that $\mathcal{A}_W \subset \mathcal{A}_Z$ and $\mathcal{B}_W \subset \mathcal{B}_Z$.

3.2. Filtration. From now on we assume $\mathcal{F}$ is rank-one, torsion free, and locally free in a neighborhood of Z . Denote $\mathcal{F}(kZ)$ by $\mathcal{F}(k)$. Then $\{\mathcal{F}(k)\}$ is a filtration of $\mathcal{F}(*Z)$. The associated graded sheaf, $\oplus_k \mathcal{F}(k)/\mathcal{F}(k-1)$ is a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Sym}(W)$ -modules. Now $\{\Phi(\mathcal{F}(k))\}$ is a filtration of $\Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z))$ and we have an imbedding

$$(3.7) \quad \text{gr}(\Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z))) \subset \Phi(\text{gr}(\mathcal{F}(*Z))) .$$

There is also a filtration on $\mathcal{B}_W$, with respect to which

$$(3.8) \quad \text{gr}(\mathcal{B}_W) \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Sym}(W) .$$

Lemma 3.2. *The action of $\mathcal{B}_W$ on $\Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z))$ respects the filtration. Moreover, the action of $\text{gr}(\mathcal{B}_W)$ on $\text{gr}(\Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z)))$ is compatible with the action of $\text{Sym}(W)$ on $\Phi(\text{gr}(\mathcal{F}(*Z)))$ induced by the action of $\text{Sym}(W)$ on $\text{gr}(\mathcal{F}(*Z))$.*

Proof. Let $m \in W$. By proposition 2.18, the singular part of ∇_m is given, locally in X , by multiplication by a rational function with poles on Z , whose class in $\mathcal{N}_{Z,S}$ is m itself. This proves the lemma. $\square$

3.3. Local freeness. Next we give a criterion for $\Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z))$ to be a locally free $\mathcal{B}_W$ -module on a dense open subset of Y .

Theorem 3.3. *Assume that for a general line bundle $\mathcal{M}$ on X of degree 0,*

$$(3.9) \quad H^k(X, \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{F}(j)) = 0$$

for all j and $0 < k < n$.

Then $\Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z))$ is locally free as a $\mathcal{B}_W$ -module at a general point of Y . Moreover,

$$(3.10) \quad \text{rank}_{\mathcal{B}_W}(\Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z))) = \deg(\mathcal{N}_Z) = \deg(\phi) .$$

Proof. It suffices to prove that for general $\mathcal{M}$, the fiber of $\text{gr}(\Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z)))$ at $\mathcal{M}$ is free as a $\text{Sym}(W)$ -module.

First consider the case $n \geq 2$. By the hypothesis, with $k = 1$, the fiber is

$$(3.11) \quad \bigoplus_j H^0(Z, \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{F}(j)|_Z) .$$

Let

$$(3.12) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}} = \phi_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{F}|_Z) .$$

Then $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Moreover,

$$(3.13) \quad H^k(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}(j)) = 0$$

for all j and $0 < k < n - 1$. By Horrocks's criterion [OSS], $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}$ decomposes as sum of line bundles. Then $\bigoplus_j H^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}(j))$ is free as a $\text{Sym}(W)$ -module. (Note that the sum is over all integers j , but is bounded below.) This proves the result when $n > 2$.

When $n = 1$, the argument is slightly different. Z is now a sum of smooth points, $p_1, \dots, p_d$, and $\bigoplus_j H^0(Z, \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{F}(j)|_Z) = \bigoplus_j \mathbb{C}[\xi, \xi^{-1}]$, where ξ is a basis for W . On the one hand, this is not a free $\mathbb{C}[\xi]$ -module, but on the other hand, the fiber of $\text{gr}(\Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z)))$ is a proper submodule, isomorphic to $\bigoplus_k \mathbb{C}[\xi]$. □

As a corollary, we obtain the first theorem announced in the introduction.

Corollary 3.4. *Assume X is Cohen-Macaulay and $\mathcal{F}$ is locally free. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an ample line bundle on X . Let $Z' \subset X$ be an ample Cartier hypersurface. Then there exist integers a and b such that if Z is a reduced hypersurface algebraically equivalent to bZ' and $W \subset H^0(X, \mathcal{N}_{Z,S})$ is an n -dimensional space such that properties (3.4) hold, then $\Phi(\mathcal{L}^a \otimes \mathcal{F}(*Z))$ is locally free as a $\mathcal{B}_W$ -module at a general point of Y .*

Proof. First choose a so that for all $k > 0$, and general degree-zero line bundle $\mathcal{M}$, $H^k(X, \mathcal{L}^a \otimes \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{F}) = 0$. Then choose positive integer b such that $H^k(X, \mathcal{L}^a \otimes \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{F}(jZ')) = 0$ for $|j| \geq b$ and $0 < k < n$. The hypothesis of theorem 3.3 is now satisfied, with $\mathcal{F}$ replaced by $\mathcal{L}^a \otimes \mathcal{F}$. □

Remark 3.5. The hypothesis of theorem 3.3 makes no reference to the subscheme S . Thus one may regard S as a variable, and fix a choice of S at the end.

3.4. Imbedding of rings. As noted in the introduction, upon choosing a $\mathcal{B}_W$ -basis for $\Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z))$ over an open set $\mathcal{U} \subset Y$, the functorially defined imbedding

$$(3.14) \quad H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(*Z)) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{B}_Z}(\Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z)))$$

becomes an imbedding

$$(3.15) \quad H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(*Z)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{U}, M_d(\mathcal{B}_W))$$

$$f \mapsto L_f .$$

into the ring of $d \times d$ matrices with entries in $H^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{B}_W)$.

3.5. Filtered basis. Assume henceforth that $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies the conditions of theorem 3.3.

Definition 3.6. Let d denote the rank of $\Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z))$ as a $\mathcal{B}_W$ -module. Let $(c_1, \dots, c_d)$ be the degrees of a set of homogeneous generators of the fiber of $gr(\Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z)))$ as a $\text{Sym}(W)$ -module, listed in nondecreasing order. By filtered basis of $\Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z))$ over an open set $\mathcal{U} \subset Y$, we mean a column vector $(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_d)^T$ such that for all i , $\psi_i \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}, \Phi(\mathcal{F}(c_i)))$, and the classes

$$\psi_i \bmod \Phi(\mathcal{F}(c_i - 1))$$

are a basis for $gr(\Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z)))|_{\mathcal{U}}$.

Unless otherwise stated, we agree henceforth to work only with filtered bases. We then introduce a filtration on the matrices over $\mathcal{B}_W$, in such a way that (3.15) is a homomorphism of filtered algebras.

Definition 3.7. Given a positive integer j , let $M_j(\mathcal{B}_W)$ denote the sheaf of $j \times j$ matrices with entries in $\mathcal{B}_W$. Given a nondecreasing sequence of integers $\vec{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_j)$, define the $\vec{r}$ -filtration on $M_j(\mathcal{B}_W)$ by

$$(3.16) \quad M_j(\mathcal{B}_W)_{\vec{r}, k} = \{ (L_{a,b}) \mid \text{ord}(L_{a,b}) \leq k + r_a - r_b \} .$$

Proposition 3.8. *If $M_d(\mathcal{B}_W)$ is endowed with the $(c_1, \dots, c_d)$ -filtration and if the homomorphism (3.15) is defined with respect to a filtered basis, then it is a homomorphism of filtered algebras. Moreover, the induced map of associated graded algebras is an imbedding.*

Remark 3.9. Let $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}$ be the vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ introduced in the proof of theorem 3.3. If $n > 1$ then $-c_1, \dots, -c_d$ are the Chern classes appearing in the decomposition of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}$ as a sum of line bundles.

3.6. Zero Curvature Equations; Flows, I. There is a map

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(*Z)/\mathcal{I}_S) &\rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{U}, M_d(\mathcal{B}_W)) \\ m &\mapsto L_m \end{aligned}$$

defined by letting ∇_m act on the basis:

$$(3.18) \quad \nabla_m(\psi) = L_m \psi .$$

For $f \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(*Z))$, there are then two definitions of L_f . But by proposition 2.15, the two definitions coincide.

Proposition 3.10. *For all $m, n \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(*Z)/\mathcal{I}_S)$, one has the zero-curvature equation*

$$(3.19) \quad \partial_m(L_n) - \partial_n(L_m) = [L_m, L_n] ,$$

where vector fields are acting on differential operators by acting on the coefficients. In particular, for all $f \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(*Z))$,

$$(3.20) \quad \partial_m(L_f) = [L_m, L_f] .$$

Proof. The first assertion follows immediately from the fact that ∇_m commutes with ∇_n . For the second assertion, set $n = f$ and note that $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(*Z))$ is the kernel of the map $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(*Z)/\mathcal{I}_S) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{I}_S)$. $\square$

Our problem now is to choose the basis in such a way that the matrices $\{L_m \mid m \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(*Z)/\mathcal{I}_S)\}$ are given explicitly in terms of the algebra of matrices $\{L_f \mid f \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(*Z))\}$. The first step is to choose a good projective basis, that is to say a choice of $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_d$ up to multiplicative factors in $\mathcal{O}_Y^*$. Then we find many ways to lift a good projective basis to a good basis, leading to equation (1.4) and its various modifications.

4. GOOD BASIS, PROJECTIVELY

4.1. Laurent expansion. Let Q be a smooth point of X . Let $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_Q$ denote the formal completion of the stalk of $\mathcal{O}_X$ at Q , regarded as a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules. Let $\mathfrak{m}_Q$ denote the maximal ideal in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_Q$.

Definition 4.1. For all integers a and k , let

$$(4.1) \quad \Phi(\mathcal{F}(k) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_Q^a) = \varprojlim_{\ell} \Phi(\mathcal{F}(k) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_Q^a / \mathfrak{m}_Q^\ell) .$$

$$(4.2) \quad \Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_Q^a) = \varinjlim_k \Phi(\mathcal{F}(k) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_Q^a) .$$

Proposition 4.2. *For every smooth point $Q \in Z$, there is a natural imbedding of $\mathcal{B}_Z$ -modules*

$$(4.3) \quad \Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z)) \rightarrow \Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z) \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_Q) .$$

4.2. Gaussian elimination. Fix a point $P \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, such that ϕ is étale in a neighborhood of $\phi^{-1}(P)$. Fix a basis $\xi, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_{n-1}$ for W such that

$$(4.4) \quad \xi(P) \neq 0$$

$$(4.5) \quad \eta_i(P) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1 .$$

Let U and ∇^U be as in proposition 2.18, and assume also that U contains $\phi^{-1}(P)$. One obtains rational functions $z, w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}$ on X by taking the singular part of ∇ , i.e.,

$$(4.6) \quad \nabla_\xi = \nabla_{\partial_\xi}^U + \frac{1}{z}$$

$$(4.7) \quad \nabla_{\eta_i} = \nabla_{\partial_{\eta_i}}^U + \frac{w_i}{z}$$

Then at every point in $\phi^{-1}(P)$, $(z, w_1, \dots, w_{n-1})$ generate the maximal ideal and z generates the ideal of Z .

Now let $\vec{Q} = (Q_1, \dots, Q_j)$ be a list of distinct points in $\phi^{-1}(P)$ and let $\vec{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_j)$ be a nondecreasing sequence of integers. Define

$$(4.8) \quad \zeta_{\vec{r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{z^{r_1}} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{z^{r_2}} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{1}{z^{r_j}} \end{pmatrix} .$$

Definition 4.3. For any integer ℓ , let $\mathbf{m}_{\vec{Q}, \vec{r}}^{(\ell)}$ denote the sheaf of $j \times j$ matrices whose entry in row a column b is the ideal $\mathbf{m}_{Q_a}^{r_a - r_b + \ell - \delta_{a,b}}$, where $\mathbf{m}_Q^r = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_Q$ for $r \leq 0$.

For every point Q in X , the structure sheaf of Q transforms to a line bundle on Y . Denote this line bundle by $\mathcal{L}_Q$. Fix a trivialization of $\mathcal{F}$ in a neighborhood of $\phi^{-1}(P)$. Then for every point $Q \in \phi^{-1}(P)$ we have a morphism

$$(4.9) \quad \Phi(\mathcal{F} \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_Q$$

with kernel $\Phi(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{m}_Q)$. Refer to (4.9) as “evaluation at Q .” Suppose then that one has a $j \times j$ matrix

$$(4.10) \quad \chi = (\chi_{a,b})$$

such that for all a, b ,

$$(4.11) \quad \chi_{a,b} \in \Phi(\mathcal{F}(r_a) \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Q_b}) .$$

Set

$$(4.12) \quad \tilde{\chi} = \zeta_{\vec{r}}^{-1} \chi .$$

Then the $(a, b)^{th}$ entry of $\tilde{\chi}$ is a section of $\Phi(\mathcal{F} \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Q_b})$, so it makes sense to evaluate the entries of $\tilde{\chi}$. Thus we have a matrix

$$(4.13) \quad \tilde{\chi}|_{\vec{Q}}$$

such that each row is a section of the vector bundle $\oplus_b \mathcal{L}_{Q_b}$. It then makes sense to ask whether $\tilde{\chi}|_{\vec{Q}}$ is invertible.

Proposition 4.4. *If $\tilde{\chi}|_{\vec{Q}}$ is invertible then there exists a permutation matrix σ and an invertible degree-0 matrix $L \in M_j(\mathcal{B}_W)$, such that $\widetilde{L\chi\sigma}$ is a section of $\Phi(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_{\vec{Q}, \vec{r}}^{(1)})$.*

Proof. It is clear that any composition of moves of the following two types will transform χ to a matrix of the form $L\chi\sigma$:

1. reordering the columns,
2. replacing χ_{a_2} by $\chi_{a_2} + L_0\chi_{a_1}$ if L_0 is a section of $\mathcal{B}_W$ such that

$$\text{ord}(L_0) \leq r_{a_2} - r_{a_1} .$$

Note that a move of type 2 replaces $\tilde{\chi}_{a_2}$ by $\tilde{\chi}_{a_2} + z^{r_{a_2} - r_{a_1}} L_0 \tilde{\chi}_{a_1}$. It is then clear from equations (4.6) and (4.7) that if $\tilde{\chi}_{a_1, a_1}|_{\vec{Q}}$ is invertible, then for all $a_2 \neq a_1$, a move of type 2 can be used to move $\tilde{\chi}_{a_2, a_1}$ into $\Phi(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_{Q_{a_1}}^{r_{a_2} - r_{a_1} + 1})$. □

Remark 4.5. If $\tilde{\chi}$ is a section of $\Phi(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_{\vec{Q}, \vec{r}}^{(1)})$, then $\tilde{\chi}|_{\vec{Q}}$ is upper triangular, and is diagonal within each block where $r_a = r_b$.

From now on we let $\vec{Q} = (Q_1, \dots, Q_d)$ denote an ordering of $\phi^{-1}(P)$. Let $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_d)^T$ be a filtered basis. Let $\hat{\psi}$ denote the $d \times d$ matrix obtained by expanding each row of ψ at each point Q_b . Then the $(a, b)^{th}$ entry of $\hat{\psi}$ is a section of $\Phi(\mathcal{F}(c_a) \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Q_b})$. One then has the matrix

$$(4.14) \quad \tilde{\psi} = \zeta_{\vec{c}}^{-1} \hat{\psi} .$$

The key point of this section is the following proposition.

Proposition 4.6. *The matrix $\tilde{\psi}|_{\vec{Q}}$ is invertible.*

Proof. Consider the vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times Y$,

$$(4.15) \quad \mathcal{S} = (\phi \times id)_*(\pi_Z^*(\mathcal{F}|_Z) \otimes \mathcal{P}) .$$

Let $gr(\psi) = (gr(\psi)_1, \dots, gr(\psi_d))^T$ be the graded basis associated to ψ . Then $gr(\psi)$ establishes an isomorphism

$$(4.16) \quad \mathcal{S}|_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathcal{U}} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathcal{O}(-c_i) .$$

That is, for all i ,

$$(4.17) \quad gr(\psi_i) \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{S}(c_i)) ,$$

and with respect to the isomorphism (4.16), $gr(\psi)$ is the identity matrix. Let

$$(4.18) \quad \Xi = \begin{pmatrix} \xi^{c_1} & & & \\ & \xi^{c_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \xi^{c_d} \end{pmatrix} .$$

Now ξ^{-c_i} trivializes $\mathcal{O}(-c_i)$ at P . Then $gr(\psi)_i$ may be evaluated along $\{P\} \times \mathcal{U}$ to produce a section

$$(4.19) \quad \xi^{-c_i} gr(\psi_i)|_P \in \Gamma(\{P\} \times \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{S}|_{\{P\} \times Y}) .$$

On the other hand,

$$(4.20) \quad \mathcal{S}|_{\{P\} \times Y} \simeq \bigoplus_{j=1}^d \mathcal{L}_j .$$

It is easy to see that with respect to the isomorphism (4.20),

$$(4.21) \quad \tilde{\psi}|_{\vec{Q}} = \Xi^{-1} gr(\psi)|_P .$$

This proves the proposition. □

Definition 4.7 (Good Basis). Say that a filtered basis ψ is *good* with respect to $\vec{Q}$ if $\tilde{\psi}$ is a section of $\Phi(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_{\vec{Q}, \vec{c}}^{(1)})$. In other words, ψ is good if, after trivializing $\mathcal{F}$ at each point of $\phi^{-1}(P)$, its matrix of Laurent expansions at $\vec{Q}$ has the form

$$(4.22) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{z^{c_1}} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{z^{c_2}} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{1}{z^{c_d}} \end{pmatrix} \left(\begin{matrix} \text{invertible} \\ \text{diagonal} \\ \text{matrix} \end{matrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{m}_{Q_1} & \mathfrak{m}_{Q_1}^{c_1-c_2+1} & \dots & \mathfrak{m}_{Q_1}^{c_1-c_d+1} \\ \mathfrak{m}_{Q_2}^{c_2-c_1+1} & \mathfrak{m}_{Q_2} & \dots & \mathfrak{m}_{Q_2}^{c_2-c_d+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathfrak{m}_{Q_d}^{c_d-c_1+1} & \mathfrak{m}_{Q_d}^{c_d-c_2+1} & \dots & \mathfrak{m}_{Q_d} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

Corollary 4.8. *Given any filtered basis ψ , there exists an invertible degree-0 matrix $L \in M_d(\mathcal{B}_W)$ such that $L\psi$ is good with respect to some ordering of $\phi^{-1}(P)$.*

5. MICRODIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

5.1. Microdifferential operators. Imbed $\mathcal{B}_W$ into a larger ring $\mathcal{B}_W((P))$ in the following standard way. Sections of $\mathcal{B}_W((P))$ are formal expressions

$$(5.1) \quad L = \sum_{i=-\infty}^N L_i \xi^i,$$

where $L_i = L_i(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{n-1})$ are sections of the subsheaf of $\mathcal{B}_W$ generated by $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{n-1}$, and for $f \in \mathcal{O}_Y$,

$$(5.2) \quad \xi^{-1}f = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{-1} (-1)^{i+1} \partial_\xi^{-i-1}(f) \xi^i.$$

Note that if η is any $\mathbb{C}$ -linear combination of $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{n-1}$ and a is a nonzero constant, then

$$(5.3) \quad (a\xi + \eta)^{-1} = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{-1} a^i \eta^{-i-1} \xi^i$$

in $\mathcal{B}_W((P))$, so that indeed the definition depends only on P .

Say that the section L in (5.1) has order at most k if $\text{ord}(L_i) + i \leq k$ for all i . Let $\mathcal{B}_W((P))_k$ denote the subsheaf consisting elements of order at most k and let $\mathcal{B}_W((P))_{fin} = \varinjlim_k \mathcal{B}_W((P))_k$.

Proposition 5.1. *The action of $\mathcal{B}_W$ on $\Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z))$ extends canonically to a filtered action of $\mathcal{B}_W((P))_{fin}$ on $\oplus_j \Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z) \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_j)$. If $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_d$ is a filtered basis for $\Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z))$ as a $\mathcal{B}_W$ -module, then $\hat{\psi}_1, \dots, \hat{\psi}_d$ is a filtered basis for $\oplus_j \Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z) \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_j)$ as a $\mathcal{B}_W((P))_{fin}$ -module.*

The proof is easy and well-known for curves, and works equally well in any dimension.

Given $\sum L_i \xi^i \in \mathcal{B}_W((P))$, define its differential-operator part and negative part, respectively, by

$$(5.4) \quad \left(\sum L_i \xi^i\right)_+ = \sum_{i \geq 0} L_i \xi^i, \quad (L_i \xi^i)_- = \sum_{i < 0} L_i \xi^i.$$

Extend the definition of $(\cdot)_\pm$ to matrices, entry by entry.

5.2. Flows, II. Fix a basis ψ . The local and singular parts of ∇_m separately act on $\oplus_j \Phi(\mathcal{F}(*Z) \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_j)$. Thus one obtains matrices L_m^U and $L_{\tilde{m}}$ over $\mathcal{B}_W((P))_{fin}$, defined by

$$(5.5) \quad \nabla_{\partial_m}^U \hat{\psi} = L_m^U \hat{\psi} ,$$

$$(5.6) \quad \tilde{m} \hat{\psi} = L_{\tilde{m}} \hat{\psi} .$$

With respect to the $\vec{c}$ -filtration, L_m^U has order 0 while $L_{\tilde{m}}$, has the same order as m . Clearly, $L_m = L_m^U + L_{\tilde{m}}$. Thus

$$(5.7) \quad L_m = (L_m^U)_+ + (L_{\tilde{m}})_+$$

$$(5.8) \quad (L_m^U)_- = -(L_{\tilde{m}})_- .$$

One now has, for all $h \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(*Z))$,

$$(5.9) \quad \partial_m(L_h) = [(L_m^U)_+ + (L_{\tilde{m}})_+, L_h] .$$

Notice that the lift $\tilde{m}$ is a rational function on X . Thus $(L_{\tilde{m}})_+$ is the term appearing in equation (1.4). Let us call $(L_m^U)_+$ the *local term*. The local term is the basis-dependent part. If the basis is good, then the local term, being of order 0, is block lower-triangular, with 0^{th} order operators, (i.e. sections of $\mathcal{O}$) in the diagonal blocks. Fortunately, we can say more.

Lemma 5.2. *Assume the basis ψ is good. Then $(L_m^U)_+$ is a diagonal matrix with entries in $\mathcal{O}_Y$. In fact, if we let A denote the diagonal part of $\hat{\psi}$, then*

$$(5.10) \quad (L_m^U)_+ = \nabla_{\partial_m}^U (A|_{\vec{Q}}) A|_{\vec{Q}}^{-1} .$$

Proof. We make use of the following facts: Let $r \in \mathcal{B}_W((P))_{fin}$ be an element of order $\leq k$. Let a be an integer and let $\chi \in \Phi(\mathcal{F}(a) \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_j)$ be a section such that $z^a \chi(Q_j)$ is a nonvanishing section of $\mathcal{L}_j$. Then

$$\text{A. } \forall \mu \forall \nu \forall c \ (r(\chi) \in \Phi(\mathcal{F}(a+k) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_j^\nu) \Rightarrow r(\Phi(\mathcal{F}(c) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_j^\mu)) \subset \Phi(\mathcal{F}(c+k) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_j^{\nu+\mu}) .$$

$$\text{B. } r(\chi) \in \Phi(\mathcal{F}(a+k) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_j^{k+1}) \Leftrightarrow (r)_+ = 0 .$$

To simplify notation, set $R = L_m^U$. We must prove that for $i \neq j$, $R_{i,j}(\hat{\psi}_{j,j}) \in \Phi(\mathcal{F}(c_i) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_j^{c_i-c_j+1})$. Let k and ℓ be integers such that

$$1. \ i \neq j \Rightarrow R_{i,j}(\hat{\psi}_{j,j}) \in \Phi(\mathcal{F}(c_i) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_j^{\inf(k, c_i-c_j+1)}) .$$

$$2. \ i \neq j \text{ and } c_i - c_j > \ell \Rightarrow R_{i,j}(\hat{\psi}_{j,j}) \in \Phi(\mathcal{F}(c_i) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_j^{\inf(k+1, c_i-c_j+1)}) .$$

Note that 1. holds for $k = 0$ and 2. holds for $\ell = c_d - c_1$.

Fix indices i, j such that $i \neq j$ and $c_i - c_j = \ell$. We have

(5.11)

$$R_{i,j}(\hat{\psi}_{j,j}) = \nabla_{\partial_m}^U(\hat{\psi}_{i,j}) - \sum_{\substack{c_i - c_p \leq \ell \\ p \neq j}} R_{i,p}(\hat{\psi}_{p,j}) - \sum_{c_i - c_p > \ell} R_{i,p}(\hat{\psi}_{p,j})$$

The first and third terms belong to $\Phi(\mathcal{F}(i) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_j^{\inf(k+1, c_i - c_j + 1)})$. By fact A, if $c_i - c_p \leq \ell$ and $p \neq j$, $R_{i,p}(\hat{\psi}_{p,j}) \in \Phi(\mathcal{F}(c_i) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_j^{\inf(k, c_i - c_p + 1) + c_p - c_j + 1})$. Now $c_p - c_j = \ell - (c_i - c_p) \geq 0$, so $\inf(k, c_i - c_p + 1) + c_p - c_j + 1 \geq \inf(k + 1, c_i - c_j + 1)$. Thus, by descending induction on ℓ , 2. holds for all ℓ . Then 1. holds for all k by induction on k . We then have $(R_{i,j})_+ = 0$ for $i \neq j$, by fact B.

To see that formula (5.10) gives the diagonal entries, recall that the diagonal entries of $(R)_+$ are sections of $\mathcal{O}$. Then evaluate the equation

$$(5.12) \quad (R_{i,i})_+ \hat{\psi}_{i,i} = \nabla_{\partial_m}^U(\hat{\psi}_{i,i}) - \sum_{p \neq i} R_{i,p}(\hat{\psi}_{p,i}) - (R_{i,i})_-(\hat{\psi}_{i,i})$$

at Q_i . □

6. THE FLOWS

To obtain (1.4), choose $S = \phi^{-1}(P)$. Then the line bundles $\mathcal{L}_j = \mathcal{L}_{Q_j}$ are canonically trivial. Thus the entries of $\tilde{\psi}|_{\tilde{Q}}$ are sections of $\mathcal{O}_Y$, so the following definition makes sense.

Definition 6.1. Say that a good basis ψ is canonically normalized if the diagonal entries $\tilde{\psi}|_{\tilde{Q}}$ are constant.

Theorem 6.2. *Assume ψ is canonically normalized. Then for all $m \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(*Z)/\mathcal{I}_S)$ there exist $f, g \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(*Z))$ such that for all $h \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(*Z))$,*

$$(6.1) \quad \partial_m(L_h) = [(L_g^{-1}L_f)_+, L_h] ,$$

Moreover, $\frac{f}{g}$ is a lift of m in a neighborhood of $\phi^{-1}(P)$.

Proof. The field of rational functions on X is the field of fractions of $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(*Z))$. Thus, the local lift $\tilde{m}$ is of the form f/g for some $f, g \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(*Z))$. In light of equation (5.9), all that remains is to show that $(L_m^U)_+ = 0$. The relative connection ∇^U restricts on each $\mathcal{L}_j$ to the standard connection on the trivial bundle. Thus it annihilates constants. Then, we are done, by lemma 5.2. □

7. FLOWS ASSOCIATED TO OTHER NORMALIZATIONS

If one considers equations (5.7) and (5.9) without any prejudice as to the outcome, one sees that the task of a basis is not necessarily to make the local term equal to zero, but simply to make the local term a (preferably explicit) function of $L_{\tilde{m}}$. Here we present a procedure to do this, the idea being to rigidify the Poincaré line bundle at as few points as possible. (If a point Q_j is omitted from S , then $\mathcal{L}_j$ is no longer trivial, so the canonical normalization no longer makes sense.) The procedure is randomized, so that roughly speaking, the number of possible flows that may be obtained by it grows exponentially with the number of different degrees appearing in a good basis.

Given a section of $\mathcal{B}_W((P))_{fin}$, $L = \sum L_i \xi^i$, of order at most N , define its N^{th} -order ξ -symbol by

$$(7.1) \quad \sigma_\xi^N(L) = L_N \xi^N .$$

Note that L_N belongs to $\mathcal{O}_Y$. Define the N^{th} -order ξ -symbol of a matrix $L \in M_d(\mathcal{B}_W((P))_{fin})$ by

$$(7.2) \quad \sigma_\xi^N(L)_{i,j} = \sigma_\xi^{N+c_i-c_j}(L_{i,j}) .$$

Then

$$(7.3) \quad \xi^{-N} \Xi^{-1} \sigma_\xi^N(L) \Xi$$

is a matrix with entries in $\mathcal{O}$, where Ξ is defined by 4.18.

Lemma 7.1. $\Xi^{-1} \sigma_\xi^0(L_m^U) \Xi = \nabla_{\partial_m}^U(\tilde{\psi}|_{\tilde{Q}})(\tilde{\psi}|_{\tilde{Q}})^{-1}$.

Proof. If a, b and k are integers such that $a + b \leq k$, $a \geq 0$ and $b < k$, then $a + b < k$ or $a > 0$. Either way, for all i, j and ℓ ,

$$(7.4) \quad \eta_i^a \xi^b \Phi(\mathcal{F}(\ell) \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_j) \subset \Phi(\mathcal{F}(\ell + k) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_j) .$$

Therefore,

$$(7.5) \quad \zeta^{-1} \sigma_\xi^0(L_m^U)(\hat{\psi})|_{\tilde{Q}} = \zeta^{-1} L_m^U(\hat{\psi})|_{\tilde{Q}} .$$

Furthermore,

$$(7.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \zeta^{-1} \sigma_\xi^0(L_m^U)(\hat{\psi})|_{\tilde{Q}} &= \zeta^{-1} \sigma_\xi^0(L_m^U) \zeta(\tilde{\psi})|_{\tilde{Q}} \\ &= \Xi^{-1} \sigma_\xi^0(L_m^U) \Xi(\tilde{\psi}|_{\tilde{Q}}) . \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$(7.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \zeta^{-1} L_m^U(\hat{\psi})|_{\tilde{Q}} &= \zeta^{-1} \nabla_{\partial_m}^U(\hat{\psi})|_{\tilde{Q}} \\ &= \nabla_{\partial_m}^U \zeta^{-1}(\hat{\psi})|_{\tilde{Q}} \\ &= \nabla_{\partial_m}^U(\tilde{\psi}|_{\tilde{Q}}) . \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Xi^{-1}\sigma_\xi^0(L_m^U)\Xi$ is a matrix over $\mathcal{O}$, the lemma is proved. $\square$

The normalization problem is now the following:

Problem 7.2. Denote $\nabla_{\partial_m}^U(\cdot)$ by $(\cdot)'$. Let $R = (\tilde{\psi}|_{\vec{Q}})'(\tilde{\psi}|_{\vec{Q}})^{-1}$. Choose the diagonal entries of $\tilde{\psi}|_{\vec{Q}}$ so as to recover the diagonal entries of R from the upper triangular entries of R .

Before giving the procedure, some illustrative examples are in order.

Example 7.3. If $\vec{Q} = (Q_1, Q_2)$ and $Q_1 \in S$, then we may partially normalize ψ by $\tilde{\psi}|_{\vec{Q}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$, with b to be determined. Then $R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{a'}{b} \\ 0 & \frac{b'}{b} \end{pmatrix}$. Recall that a and b are sections of $\mathcal{L}_2$. After declaring Q_2 to be a member of S , we can proceed as in theorem 6.2 to set $b = 1$.

However, if a is an invertible section of $\mathcal{L}_2$, a different choice presents itself, namely $b = a$. How does this alter the flow (6.1)? Let

$$(7.8) \quad L = (L_g)^{-1}L_f .$$

Let $L_{i,j}$ denote the entry of L in row i column j . Set $L_{i,j} = \sum_k L_{i,j,k} \xi^k$.

By equation (5.8), $(L)_-$ has order at most 0. (This is somewhat surprising.) Thus $L_{1,2}$ has order at most $c_1 - c_2$, so $L_{1,2,c_1-c_2}$ is a section of $\mathcal{O}$. Then

$$(7.9) \quad \partial_m(L_h) = [M, L_h] ,$$

where M is the following modification of $(L)_+$:

$$(7.10) \quad M = (L)_+ - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & L_{1,2,c_1-c_2} \end{pmatrix} .$$

Example 7.4. Assume now that $\vec{Q} = (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3)$, with $Q_1 \in S$, and ψ partially normalized by $\tilde{\psi}|_{\vec{Q}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & c & d \\ 0 & 0 & e \end{pmatrix}$. Then $R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{a'}{c} & -\frac{a'd}{ec} + \frac{b'}{e} \\ 0 & \frac{c'}{c} & -\frac{c'd}{ec} + \frac{d'}{e} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{e'}{e} \end{pmatrix}$.

If a is invertible, take $c = a$, yielding $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{a'}{a} & -\frac{a'd}{ea} + \frac{b'}{e} \\ 0 & \frac{a'}{a} & -\frac{a'd}{ea} + \frac{d'}{e} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{e'}{e} \end{pmatrix}$.

Then if d is invertible, take $e = d$. This gives equation (7.9), with

$$(7.11) \quad M = (L)_+ - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & L_{1,2,c_1-c_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & L_{2,3,c_2-c_3} + L_{1,2,c_1-c_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

On the other hand, if $d - b$ is invertible, we may also take $e = d - b$. This gives

$$(7.12) \quad M = (L)_+ - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & L_{1,2,c_1-c_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & L_{2,3,c_2-c_3} - L_{1,3,c_1-c_3} \end{pmatrix}$$

The general procedure starts with a good projective basis ψ , defined over some open subset $\mathcal{U} \subset Y$, and with S consisting of a single point. The procedure recursively normalizes the rows, possibly shrinking $\mathcal{U}$, and introducing more elements into S only as a last resort.

Procedure 7.5 (Normalize(ψ)).

Initialization: Set $S = \{Q_1\}$, so that the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_1$ is the trivial. Then normalize the first row of $\tilde{\psi}|_{\tilde{Q}}$ to be $(1, *, \dots, *)$.

Loop: For $\ell \geq 1$, assume the first ℓ elements of ψ have been normalized. Let $\psi^{(\ell)}$ denote the upper left-hand $\ell \times \ell$ block. Set $R^{(\ell)} = (\widetilde{\psi^{(\ell)}|_{\tilde{Q}}})' (\widetilde{\psi^{(\ell)}|_{\tilde{Q}}})^{-1}$, where $(\cdot)'$ denotes $\nabla_\xi(\cdot)$. Set

$$(7.13) \quad \psi^{(\ell+1)}|_{\tilde{Q}} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi^{(\ell)}|_{\tilde{Q}} & v \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix},$$

where x is a section of $\mathcal{L}_{\ell+1}$, yet to be determined. Then

$$(7.14) \quad R^{(\ell+1)} = \begin{pmatrix} R^{(\ell)} & -R^{(\ell)} \frac{v}{x} + \frac{v'}{x} \\ 0 & \frac{x'}{x} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let j be an integer such that $v = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where v_1 is a vector of length

j .

If possible, choose a vector of constants $c = (c_1, \dots, c_j)$ such that there exists $k \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O})$ such that $cR^{(j)} = kc$. Let n denote c padded at the end with $l - j$ zeroes. Then $nR^{(\ell)} = (kc, *) = kn + m$, where $m \cdot v = 0$.

If $n \cdot v$ is not the 0 section of $\mathcal{L}_{\ell+1}$, shrink $\mathcal{U}$ so that $n \cdot v$ is invertible. Declare $x = n \cdot v = c \cdot v_1$. Note that $x' = n \cdot v'$. Then

$$(7.15) \quad n \cdot \left(-R^{(\ell)} \frac{v}{x} + \frac{v'}{x} \right) = -k + \frac{x'}{x}$$

Thus, for some $i \leq j$,

$$(7.16) \quad R^{(\ell+1)}_{\ell+1, \ell+1} = \sum_{m=1}^j c_i R^{(\ell+1)}_{m, \ell+1} + R^{(\ell+1)}_{i, i}$$

If no suitable vector c can be found, adjoin $Q_{\ell+1}$ to S . This makes $\mathcal{L}_{\ell+1}$ the trivial bundle. Then declare $x = 1$. **end**

It is clear that procedure 7.5 solves problem 7.2.

7.0.1. *Formal setting.* Procedure 7.5 may be applied in the formal setting in which expressions that are not formally zero are presumed to be nonzero sections.

Proposition 7.6. *In the formal setting it is possible to follow procedure 7.5 in such a way that at the end, the number of points in S is equal to the multiplicity of c_1 .*

I leave the proof of proposition 7.6 to the reader. One can get the gist of it by considering the case that each c_i occurs with multiplicity one. Starting with ψ such that

$$(7.17) \quad \tilde{\psi}|_{\tilde{Q}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{1,2} & & \dots \\ 0 & a_{2,2} & a_{2,3} & \dots \\ & \dots & & a_{d-1,d} \\ & & & a_{d,d} \end{pmatrix},$$

one possibility is to recursively normalize ψ so that $a_{k,k} = a_{k-1,k}$. Another possibility is $a_{k,k} = a_{1,k} - \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} a_{j,k}$. There are intermediate choices as well, so the possibilities grow exponentially with d . For instance, with $d = 4$, one could choose

$$(7.18) \quad \begin{aligned} a_{2,2} &= a_{1,2} \\ a_{3,3} &= a_{2,3} \\ a_{4,4} &= a_{2,4} - a_{3,4} \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(7.19) \quad \begin{aligned} a_{2,2} &= a_{1,2} \\ a_{3,3} &= a_{1,3} - a_{2,3} \\ a_{4,4} &= a_{3,4} . \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of proposition 7.6, one may expect to be able to reduce S to a single point in the event that c_1 occurs with multiplicity one.

8. EXAMPLES IN WHICH ONE MAY REDUCE S TO A POINT

Example 8.1 (Fano Surface). Let F be the family of lines on a smooth cubic threefold. (See [B, CG] for background.) Then F is a smooth surface. For a general line ℓ on the threefold, let D be the family of lines on the threefold meeting ℓ transversely. Then D is a smooth, ample curve, of genus 11. Let $W_0 = H^0(D, \mathcal{N})$. Then W_0 is canonically isomorphic to the tangent space $T_\ell F$. In particular, W_0 is two-dimensional and basepoint free. The degree of $\mathcal{N}$ is 5. Given $\ell' \in D$, the plane spanned by ℓ and ℓ' meets the threefold in ℓ , ℓ' and a third line, which we may denote by $\sigma(\ell')$. Then σ is an involution with no fixed points, and the natural map $\text{Pic}^0(F) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(D)$ imbeds $\text{Pic}^0(F)$ as the Prym variety of (D, σ) . For a general degree-zero line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}^0(F)$ one has the following invariants:

$$(8.1) \quad \begin{aligned} h^0(\mathcal{L}(kD)) &= h^1(\mathcal{L}(kD)) = 0, \quad k < 2, \\ h^1(\mathcal{L}(kD)) &= h^2(\mathcal{L}(kD)) = 0, \quad k \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

By theorem 3.3, for any S and any space $W \subset H^0(F, \mathcal{O}(D)/\mathcal{I}_S)$ mapping isomorphically onto W_0 , $\Phi(\mathcal{O}(*D))$ is a locally free $\mathcal{B}_W$ -module in a neighborhood of $\mathcal{L}$. Furthermore,

$$(8.2) \quad h^0(\mathcal{L}(2D)) = 1, h^0(\mathcal{L}(3D)) = 6, h^0(\mathcal{L}(4D)) = 16,$$

from which it follows that $c_1 = 2$, $c_2 = c_3 = c_4 = 3$ and $c_5 = 4$. In particular, c_1 occurs with multiplicity one. Thus, if ψ is any good basis, $\tilde{\psi}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}}$ has the following form:

$$(8.3) \quad \tilde{\psi}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{1,1} & \alpha_{1,2} & \alpha_{1,3} & \alpha_{1,4} & \alpha_{1,5} \\ 0 & \alpha_{2,2} & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{2,5} \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_{3,3} & 0 & \alpha_{3,5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{4,4} & \alpha_{4,5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{5,5} \end{pmatrix}$$

Let $L = (L_g)^{-1}L_f$ and define $L_{i,j,k}$ as in example 7.3. We may set $S = \{Q_1\}$ and set $\alpha_{1,1} = 1$. By choosing $\alpha_{2,2} = \alpha_{1,2}$, $\alpha_{3,3} = \alpha_{1,3}$, $\alpha_{4,4} = \alpha_{1,4}$ and $\alpha_{5,5} = \alpha_{4,5}$, we find that the system

$$\partial_m(L_h) = [M, L_h]$$

may be solved by rational functions on $\text{Pic}^0(F)$, where

(8.4)

$$M = (L)_+ - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & L_{1,2,-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & L_{1,3,-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & L_{1,4,-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & L_{4,5,-1} + L_{1,4,-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Another possibility is $\alpha_{2,2} = \alpha_{1,2}$, $\alpha_{3,3} = \alpha_{1,3}$, $\alpha_{4,4} = \alpha_{1,4}$ and $\alpha_{5,5} = \alpha_{1,5} - \alpha_{2,5} - \alpha_{3,5} - \alpha_{4,5}$. We then get

(8.5)

$M =$

$$(L)_+ - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & L_{1,2,-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & L_{1,3,-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & L_{1,4,-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & L_{1,5,-2} - L_{2,5,-1} - L_{3,5,-1} - L_{4,5,-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Both possibilities are allowed for generic threefold and generic line on it.

Example 8.2 (Ruled Surface). Let C be a smooth hyperelliptic curve, $C \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathbb{P}^1$, with $g = g(C) \geq 3$. Consider an extension

$$(8.6) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{t} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 0.$$

where $\mathcal{L} = \sigma^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1))$. Set $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\pi} C$.

If the extension class of $\mathcal{E}$ is chosen generally, then the two-dimensional vector space $H^0(C, \mathcal{L})$ will inject into $H^1(C, \mathcal{O})$. Furthermore, the relative $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on X will be ample. Then, if we let $Z \subset X$ be the curve defined by $\{t = 0\}$, Z is ample. Denoting by $\mathcal{N}$ the normal bundle of Z , one recovers the sequence (8.6) by applying π_* to the sequence

$$(8.7) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}.$$

In particular, $\dim H^0(Z, \mathcal{N}) = 2$, and one has an injection

$$(8.8) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^0(Z, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}) = H^1(C, \mathcal{O}).$$

Proposition 8.3. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a general line bundle on C , of degree $g - 1 \leq d \leq g + 1$. Let b be an integer. Then*

$$(8.9) \quad H^i(X, \pi^*(\mathcal{M})(bZ)) = 0$$

in any of the following circumstances:

1. $i = 1$,
2. $b \geq -1$ and $i = 2$,

3. $b \leq -1$ and $i = 0$.

Proof. Case $i = 1$:

When $b = 0$, we are asserting that $H^1(C, \mathcal{M}) = 0$, which holds generically for the stated degrees. For $b > 0$, we have $H^1(X, \mathcal{N}^b \otimes \pi^*(\mathcal{M})) = H^1(C, \mathcal{L}^b \otimes \mathcal{M}) = 0$. Then statement 1 follows for all positive b by induction, using the exact sequence

$$(8.10) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(b-1) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(b) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^b \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} .$$

If $b \leq -1$, then $\deg(\mathcal{L}^b \otimes \mathcal{M}) \leq g-1$. Then use (8.10) again, invoking this time the fact that $H^0(X, \mathcal{N}^b \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{M}) = 0$. This finishes the case $i = 1$.

The other two cases follow from the fact that for $b \geq -1$, $R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{O}(b)) = 0$, while for $b \leq -1$, $R^0\pi_*(\mathcal{O}(b)) = 0$. □

Let $g-1 \leq d \leq g+1$ and let $\kappa = d - (g-1)$. Let $\chi(b)$ denote the Euler characteristic of $\pi^*\mathcal{M}(b)$, for $\deg(\mathcal{M}) = d$. Then one easily computes that

$$(8.11) \quad \chi(b) = \kappa + (\kappa + 1)b + b^2 .$$

We therefore get the following theorem.

Theorem 8.4. *The hypotheses of theorem 3.2 hold with X and Z as above, taking $\mathcal{F}$ to be the pullback from C of any line bundle $\mathcal{M}$ with $\kappa = 0, 1, 2$, where $\kappa = \deg(\mathcal{M}) - (g-1)$. The filtered $\mathcal{B}_W$ -module obtained in this way has two generators of the following orders:*

- 0. both of order 1 if $\kappa = 0$,
- 1. one of order 0 and one of order 1 if $\kappa = 1$,
- 2. both of order 0 if $\kappa = 2$.

With $\kappa = 1$, i.e., $\deg(\mathcal{M}) = g$, one may reduce S to a single point. Then one obtains the flows

$$\partial_m(L_h) = [M, L_h] ,$$

where, M is as in example 7.3, with $c_1 - c_2 = -1$:

$$(8.12) \quad M = (L)_+ - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & L_{1,2,-1} \end{pmatrix} .$$

REFERENCES

- [B] *A. Beauville*, Les singularités du diviseur Θ de la jacobienne intermédiaire de l'hypersurface cubique dans $\mathbb{P}^4$. Algebraic threefolds (Varenna, 1981), Lecture Notes in Math., **947**, 190–208. Springer, Berlin-New York, 1982.

- [BC] *J. Burchnall, T. Chaundy*, a) Commutative ordinary differential operators, Proc. London Math. Soc. **21**, 420-440, b) Commutative ordinary differential operators, Proc. Royal Soc. Lond (A) **118**, 557-583, c) Commutative ordinary differential operators II. The identity $P^n = Q^m$, Proc. Royal Soc. Lond (A) **134**, 471-485.
- [CG] *C. Clemens, P. Griffiths*, The intermediate Jacobian of the cubic threefold. Ann. of Math. (2) **95** (1972), 281-356.
- [K1] *I.M. Krichever*, Algebro-geometric construction of the Zaharov-Shabat equations and their periodic solutions. Soviet Math. Dokl. **17** (1976), 394-397
- [K2] — — Integration of nonlinear equations by the methods of nonlinear geometry. Funk. Anal. i Pril, **11** (1977), 15-31.
- [K3] — — Methods of algebraic geometry in the theory of nonlinear equations. Russian Math. Surveys, **32**, 6 (1977), 198-220.
- [KN] *I.M. Krichever, S.P. Novikov*, Holomorphic bundles over algebraic curves, and nonlinear equations. (Russian) Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **35**, 6 (216) (1980), 47-68.
- [L] *G. Laumon*, Transformation de Fourier généralisée, alg-geom/9603004.
- [Muk] *S. Mukai*, Duality between $D(X)$ and $D(\hat{X})$ with its application to Picard sheaves. Nagoya Math. J. **81** (1981), 153-175.
- [Mum] *D. Mumford*, An algebro-geometric construction of commuting operators and of solutions to the Toda lattice equation, Korteweg deVries equation and related nonlinear equations. Proceedings of the International Symposium on Algebraic Geometry (Kyoto Univ., Kyoto, 1977), 115-153
- [N] *A. Nakayashiki*, Structure of Baker-Akhiezer modules of principally polarized abelian varieties, commuting partial differential operators and associated integrable systems. Duke Math. J. **62**, 2 (1991), 315-358.
- [O] *D. Osipov*, The Krichever correspondence for algebraic varieties. (Russian.) Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. **65**, 5 (2001), 91-128; translation in Izv. Math. **65**, 5 (2001), 941-975
- [OSS] *C. Okonek, M. Schneider, H. Spindler*, Vector bundles on complex projective spaces. Progress in Mathematics, **3**, Birkhuser, Boston, Mass., 1980.
- [P] *A.N. Parshin*, Integrable systems and local fields. Comm. Algebra **29**, 9 (2001), 4157-4181.
- [PR] *A. Polishchuk, M. Rothstein*, Fourier Transform for D -algebras, I. Duke Math. J., **109**, 1 (2001), 123-146. math.AG/9901009
- [R] *M. Rothstein*, Sheaves with connection on abelian varieties. Duke Math. Journal **84** (1996), 565-598. alg-geom/9602023
- [S] *M. Sato*, The KP hierarchy and infinite-dimensional Grassmann manifolds. Theta functions—Bowdoin 1987, Part 1 (Brunswick, ME, 1987), 51-66. Proc. Symp. Pure Math., **49** Part 1, AMS, Providence, RI, 1989.
- [SW] *G. Segal, G. Wilson*, Loop groups and equations of KdV type. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci. **61** (1985), 5-65.
- [T] *K. Takasaki*, Integrable systems as deformations of $\mathbf{D}$ -modules. Theta functions—Bowdoin 1987, Part 1 (Brunswick, ME, 1987), 143-168, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., **49** Part 1, AMS, Providence, RI, 1989.

DYNAMICS OF THE KRICHEVER CONSTRUCTION IN SEVERAL VARIABLES~~SI~~

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA, ATHENS,
GA 30602

E-mail address: rothstei@math.uga.edu